

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220527169>

Continuity and completeness under risk

Article in *Mathematical Social Sciences* · January 2011

Impact Factor: 0.46 · DOI: 10.1016/j.mathsocsci.2010.11.001 · Source: DBLP

CITATIONS

9

READS

30

1 author:



[Juan Dubra](#)

Universidad de Montevideo

39 PUBLICATIONS 531 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

	Volume 61, Issue 1, January 2011	ISSN 0165-4896
EDITORS Simon Grant Jean-François Lasiere	mathematical social sciences	
EDITORIAL BOARD Fuad Aleskerov Rabati Amir Carmen Bevis Francis Bloch Anna Bogomolnaia Walter Bossert Raouf Boucekine Steven J. Brams Chris Chambers Alain Chateauneuf Alessandro Chianna Geoffroy De Clippel Jean Paul Dognon Bhaskar Dutta Thibault Gajdos Aviad Heifetz Ron Holzman Mamoru Kaneko Edi Karni Bettina Klaus Jeff Kling Luc Lauryers Ngo Van Long Mark Machina Francois Maniquet Flavio Manezes Kazuo Mino Bernard Monardet Herve Moulin Patrick Moyes Klaus Nehring Hans Peters Martine Quinzil Klaus Ritzberger John Roemer Zvi Safra Jacob S. Saggi Rensu Samer James Schummer Arunava Sen Roberto Serrano John Tomas Sjostrom Haran Smorodinsky Yves Sprumont Jean-Marc Tallon William Thomson Karinne Van der Straeten Timothy Van Zandt William Zwicker	CONTENTS	
	Y. INAMI, The buy price in auctions with discrete type distributions	1
	S. BERUBE, K.-D. CRISMAN, Decomposition behavior in aggregated data sets	12
	T. GVOZDEVA, A. SLINKO, Weighted and roughly weighted simple games	20
	X. ZHAO, Framing contingencies in contracts	31
	M.D. MAKOWSKY, A theory of liberal churches	41
	L. LIU, The Alchian-Allen theorem and the law of relative demand: The case of multiple quality-differentiable brands	52
	B. DRIESEN, A. PEREA, H. PETERS, The Kalai-Smorodinsky bargaining solution with loss aversion	58
	J. Yi, Implementation via mechanisms with transfers	65
	H.-J. CHEN, Social status and long-run effects of monetary policy in a two-sector monetary economy of endogenous growth	71
	J. DUBRA, Continuity and completeness under risk	80
Available online at www.sciencedirect.com		
		

This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mathematical Social Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/econbase



Continuity and completeness under risk[☆]

Juan Dubra

Universidad de Montevideo, Uruguay

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
 Received 6 September 2010
 Received in revised form
 28 October 2010
 Accepted 3 November 2010
 Available online 16 November 2010

JEL classification:

D11
 D81

Keywords:

Incomplete preferences
 Independence axiom
 Archimedean property

ABSTRACT

Suppose some non-degenerate preferences R , with strict part P , over risky outcomes satisfy Independence. Then, when they satisfy any two of the following axioms, they satisfy the third. Herstein–Milnor: for all lotteries p, q, r , the set of a 's for which $ap + (1 - a)qRr$ is closed. Archimedean: for all p, q, r there exists $a > 0$ such that if pPq , then $ap + (1 - a)rPq$. Complete: for all p, q , either pRq or qRp .

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Let X be a finite set, and for $m = |X|$, let $\mathcal{P} = \{p \in \mathbf{R}_+^m : \sum_i p_i = 1\}$ be the set of lotteries over X . Let \succeq be a transitive and reflexive binary relation on \mathcal{P} . As usual define $s \succ t$ if $s \succeq t$ and not $t \succeq s$, and $s \sim t$ if $s \succeq t$ and $t \succeq s$. We say that \succeq is non trivial if there exist s and t in \mathcal{P} such that $s \succ t$. The relation \succeq satisfies:

Independence, if for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $p \succeq q$ if and only if $\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)r \succeq \lambda q + (1 - \lambda)r$;

Herstein–Milnor, if for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$ the set $\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q \succeq r\}$ is closed;¹

Archimedean, if for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \succ q$ implies $\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)r \succ q$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$;

Completeness, if for all p and q , either $p \succeq q$ or $q \succeq p$.

In this note I prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose \succeq is a transitive, reflexive, non-trivial binary relation on \mathcal{P} , that satisfies Independence. If \succeq satisfies any two of the following axioms, it satisfies the third: Herstein–Milnor, Archimedean and Completeness.*

Schmeidler (1971) proved an analogous theorem for the case in which \succeq is a preference relation on a set, not necessarily involving lotteries. He proved that if \succeq on a connected topological set Z is such that for some x and y , $x \succ y$, then closed weak upper and lower contour sets and open strict upper and lower contour sets imply completeness.

That Completeness and Independence imply that HM Continuity and Archimedean are equivalent is trivial and was first claimed

by Aumann (1962, p. 453). Karni (2007) proved that under a property weaker than Independence (Local Mixture Dominance), Completeness and Archimedean imply HM Continuity. Hence, we only need to prove that under the assumptions of the theorem, HM Continuity and Archimedean imply Completeness; to do so I will prove the following lemma, which together with Schmeidler's theorem will establish the desired result.

Lemma 1. *Suppose X is finite, that \succeq is a transitive, reflexive binary relation on the space \mathcal{P} of lotteries over X , and that \succeq satisfies Independence.*

- (a) *If \succeq satisfies HM Continuity then for all p , $\{q : q \succeq p\}$ and $\{q : p \succeq q\}$ are closed.*
- (b) *If \succeq satisfies the Archimedean Axiom, then for all p , $\{q : q \succ p\}$ and $\{q : p \succ q\}$ are open in the relative topology in \mathcal{P} .*

A version of part (a) of the lemma was established in Proposition 1 in Dubra et al. (2004), but with slightly different axioms: a weaker Independence, and a stronger continuity: Double Mixture Continuity: for any p, q, r, s in \mathcal{P} the following set is closed

$$T = \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)r \succeq \lambda q + (1 - \lambda)s\}.$$

Part (a) of the lemma is relevant, despite Proposition 1 in Dubra et al., because Double Mixture Continuity is not a standard axiom, and the Independence axiom in this paper is standard. Also, the proof is similar, but simpler. To the best of my knowledge, part (b) is new. Both (a) and (b) could be proved in a more cumbersome manner by appealing to the well known equivalence between algebraic closedness (HM Continuity) and topological closedness (and similarly for openness).

Proof. Proof of (a). In order to show that for all v the set $S = \{r : r \succeq v\}$ is closed take any q in its boundary. If S is a singleton, there is nothing to prove, and if it is not, by the Independence axiom it

[☆] I thank Edi Karni, Efe Ok, and a referee in this journal for their comments.

E-mail address: dubraj@um.edu.uy.

¹ The proof below shows that under Independence, this version of HM implies the stronger version which also requires that $\{\alpha : r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q\}$ is closed. A similar argument applies to the definition of the Archimedean axiom.

is a convex set and therefore has a nonempty relative interior. Pick any p in the relative interior of S .

Let B be the open unit ball in the linear space generated by $S - v$, endowed with the relative topology. Fix any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $b \in B$, pick $\delta > 0$ small enough that $\varepsilon b + \delta B \subset \varepsilon B$. Since q is in the boundary of S , there exists $w \in S$ such that $\|w - q\| < \delta$, which implies $\varepsilon b + (1 - \lambda)(q - w) \in \varepsilon B$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q + \varepsilon b &= \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)w + (1 - \lambda)(q - w) + \varepsilon b \\ &\in \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)S + \varepsilon B. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For a fixed λ , since p is in the relative interior of S , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $p + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}B \subset S$. Since Eq. (1) was true for all ε , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q + \varepsilon B &\subseteq \lambda \left(p + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}B \right) + (1 - \lambda)S \\ &\subseteq \lambda S + (1 - \lambda)S = S. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $0 \in B$, we get that for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q \in S$, and by HM, $q \in S$, as was to be shown.

Consider now lower contour sets: for some fixed v , let $T = \{r : v \succeq r\}$. By Independence, for $u = (\frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m})$, $v \succeq r$ if and only if $v - r \in \{\lambda(p - u) : p \succeq u, \lambda > 0\}$. Hence

$$T = \{r : v \succeq r\} = \{v - m(p - u) : p \succeq u\} \cap \mathcal{P},$$

and closedness follows by the closedness of $\{p : p \succeq u\}$.

Proof of (b). We will show that for all p , $\{q : q \succ p\}$ and $\{q : p \succ q\}$ are relatively open in \mathcal{P} by showing that $D = \{\lambda(r - u) : \lambda > 0 \text{ and } r \succ u\}$ is relatively open in the linear space generated by $\mathcal{P} - u$, which we denote $A \equiv \text{aff}(\mathcal{P} - u)$. Openness of D implies openness of $\{q : q \succ p\} = (p + D) \cap \mathcal{P}$ (where the equality follows by Independence). Openness of D also implies openness of $\{q : p \succ q\} = (p - D) \cap \mathcal{P}$.

It is easy to see that $A = \{\mu \in \mathbf{R}^m : \sum_1^m \mu_i = 0\}$. Also, given any $\mu \in A$, one can pick λ large enough so as to make $\max_{i \leq m} |\mu_i| < \frac{\lambda}{m}$. Define then $p_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{m}$; it is then straightforward to check that $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and that $\mu = \lambda(p - u)$, showing $A = \{\lambda(p - u) : \lambda > 0, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

To show that D is relatively open, pick any $\sigma \in D$ and let $\sigma = \lambda'(p' - u) \in D$. For small enough α , $p \equiv \alpha p' + (1 - \alpha)u$ is in the relative interior of \mathcal{P} and by Independence, $p' \succ u$ ensures that $p \succ u$. Let $\lambda = \frac{\lambda'}{\alpha}$ and note that

$$\sigma = \lambda'(p' - u) = \frac{\lambda'}{\alpha} \alpha(p' - u) = \lambda \alpha(p' - u) = \lambda(p - u).$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, let $c_i = e_i - e_m$ be a basis for A , and for $i = m, \dots, 2m - 2$, let $c_i = -c_{i-m+1}$. Since p is in the interior of \mathcal{P} , for small enough α_i , $p + \alpha_i c_i \in \mathcal{P}$, and since $p \succ u$, the Archimedean axiom ensures that there is some β_i such that $p + \beta_i \alpha_i c_i = (1 - \beta_i)p + \beta_i(p + \alpha_i c_i) \succ u$. Using Independence again, we obtain that for $\gamma_i \equiv \beta_i \alpha_i$ and $\gamma \equiv \min_i \gamma_i > 0$,

$$p + \gamma c_i = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_i}(p + \gamma_i c_i) + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_i}\right)p \succ u \quad (2)$$

for all i . Let H denote the convex hull of $\{\gamma c_i\}_{i=1}^{2m-2}$, and note that since for each i , H contains both c_i and $-c_i$,

$$\text{if } \pi \in H \text{ and } \delta < 1 \text{ then } \delta \pi \in H. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, by (2) and Independence, for any s ,

$$s \in p + H \Rightarrow s \succ u. \quad (4)$$

For any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ let $d(x, y) = \max_i |x_i - y_i|$, and let $B = \{\mu \in A : d(\mu, 0) < \frac{\gamma}{m-1}\}$ be a relatively open set in A . Notice that for any $\mu \in B$, since $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mu_i c_i$ and $|\mu_i| < \frac{\gamma}{m-1}$, we obtain that for $\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\mu_i|}{\gamma} < 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\mu_i|}{\gamma \delta} = 1$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \sum_{i \leq m-1; \mu_i > 0} \mu_i c_i + \sum_{i \leq m-1; \mu_i < 0} (-\mu_i)(-c_i) \\ &= \delta \left[\sum_{i; \mu_i > 0} \frac{\mu_i}{\gamma \delta} \gamma c_i + \sum_{i; \mu_i < 0} \frac{-\mu_i}{\gamma \delta} (-\gamma c_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

showing that $\mu = \delta \pi$ for π a convex combination of $\{\gamma c_i\}_{i=1}^{2m-2}$, and $\delta < 1$. By Eq. (3), μ is in H .

For any $\sigma' \in \sigma + \lambda B = \lambda(p - u) + \lambda B$, we have that for some $\mu \in B \subseteq H$, $u + \frac{\sigma'}{\lambda} = p + \mu \in p + B \subseteq p + H$. By Eq. (4) we obtain $u + \frac{\sigma'}{\lambda} \succ u$ which implies $\sigma' \in D$, which shows that D is relatively open since for any $\sigma \in D$ we have found an open set $\sigma + \lambda B$ containing σ such that $\sigma + \lambda B \subset D$. \square

References

- Aumann, R., 1962. Utility theory without the completeness axiom. *Econometrica* 30(3).
- Dubra, J., Maccheroni, F., Ok, E., 2004. Expected utility theory without the completeness axiom. *Journal of Economic Theory* 115(1).
- Karni, E., 2007. Archimedean and continuity. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 53(3).
- Schmeidler, D., 1971. A condition for the completeness of partial preference relations. *Econometrica* 39(2).